


DoD Base Realignment and Closure Part II (BRAC 91)

Department of the Air Force

**FY 1996/97
Biennial Budget Estimates**



19950403 070

Justification Data Submitted to Congress: February 1995

FY 1996/1997 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

1991 COMMISSION

1991 Commission

I.	Base Realignment and Closure.....	1
II.	Financial Summary 1991 Commission.....	10
III.	Base Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package	
	1. Bergstrom AFB, Texas.....	12
	2. Carswell AFB, Texas.....	22
	3. Castle AFB, California.....	25
	4. Eaker AFB, Arizona.....	32
	5. England AFB, Louisiana.....	35
	6. Grissom AFB, Indiana.....	38
	7. Loring AFB, Maine.....	42
	8. Lowry AFB, Colorado.....	45
	9. MacDill AFB, Florida.....	53
	10. Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina.....	56
	11. Richards-Gebaur ARS, Missouri.....	60
	12. Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio.....	63
	13. Williams AFB, Arizona.....	71
	14. Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan.....	74
	15. Chanute AFB, Illinois.....	77
	16. George AFB, California.....	83
	17. Mather AFB, California.....	86
	18. Pease AFB, New Hampshire.....	91
	19. Norton AFB, California.....	93
	20. Program Management.....	96
IV.	Military Construction Projects by State.....	99
V.	Land Revenue.....	100

Accession For	
XXIS GRAB	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By <i>See RDA 276498</i>	
Distribution	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
<i>A-1</i>	

FY 95 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

1991 Commission U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule/Mission Impact: The following schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability while placing priority on closing the thirteen bases and realigning one base as recommended by the 1991 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close the thirteen bases and realign one base at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and anticipated proceeds from sale of real property at the closure bases. The savings associated with these closure actions are for display purposes only and will accrue in other Air Force appropriations. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective. Also included are those requirements generated by the 1991 Base Closure Commission changes to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations.

The defense base closure account which funds the bases recommended for closure by the 1988 Commission will expire on September 30, 1995. The defense base closure account of 1990 will be used to fund ongoing environmental restoration and property disposal actions for the BRAC 88 closures and realignments after that date. These costs are shown in the 1990 account, and are separately identified in this portion of the budget submission. To facilitate the closure of bases as well as the transition of land and facilities to community use, Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) positions were established in FY 1993 by the Department of Defense (DOD).

FY 1992: Base Closure Account Requirement: \$204.6 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1992 consisted of planning, designing and initiating military construction projects to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.2 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.6 Million
Funding was due to environmental and restorations actions.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$25.4 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions, and operation and maintenance.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.0 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.8 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Grisson. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$22.8 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.0 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.7 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$29.1 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$ 2.0 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.3 Million
The funding was required to modify on-going construction projects at gaining locations in order to take advantage of reduced design and construction costs. Environmental requirements were also included.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.3 Million
The funding was primarily related to environmental and restoration actions, and some construction.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.7 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Program Management. Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.3 Million
Funding was primarily related to planning and design costs for military construction at gaining locations and cantonment areas, additional manpower authorizations to administer the program, caretaker contracts, and environmental costs.

FY 1993: Base Closure Account Requirement: \$648.7 Million. Eaker and England AFBs closed 15 December 1992. Myrtle Beach AFB closed 31 March 1993. Bergstrom, Carswell, and Williams closed 30 September 93. Wurtsmith AFB closed 30 Jun 93. Additionally, Chanute, George, and Mather AFBs closed as recommended by the 1988 Base Closure Commission. All active duty force structure at these bases relocated or retired, and all active military and civilian personnel relocated or were eliminated from Air Force end strength. Numerous units relocated or inactivated. Funding was primarily related to construction projects and environmental requirements. Funds were required to pay both military and civilian moving costs and civilian severance costs. Additionally, to facilitate the closure of bases as well as the transition of land and facilities to community use, Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) positions were established in FY 1993 by the Department of Defense (DOD).

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$58.7 Million
The base closed 30 September 93. The funding was related to construction for the units moving to Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, and Fort Hood, TX, and the relocation of military and civilian personnel,

and environmental requirements.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$27.1 Million

The base closed. Funding was required for construction at gaining locations and for the AF Reserve cantonment area at Carswell. All active force structure relocated. Funding also was required for personnel moves or severance and environmental cleanup.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.6 Million

The base closes in FY 1995. The funding was primarily related to environmental, and operations and maintenance. There was also PCS of military personnel.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.9 Million

The base closed 15 December 1992. Funding was required for environmental, operations and maintenance, and PCS of military personnel.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$25.8 Million

The base closed in 1992. Funding was required for military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Grisson. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.9 Million

Funding consisted of operation and maintenance, environmental, and military personnel PCS.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$30.2 Million

The funding was required primarily for environmental compliance and restoration costs, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and procurement.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$121.1 Million

Funding was primarily related to construction at gaining locations, moving training courses and equipment, procurement of equipment for the electronics training course, environmental cleanup, and military personnel PCS.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.8 Million

Funding was required for construction to support units moving to Luke AFB, AZ, and Seymour Johnson AFB, NC. Funds were also required for moving people, munitions, and equipment, and environmental actions.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.7 Million

The base closed 31 March 1993. Funding was primarily related to construction for units moving to Luke AFB, AZ, Pope AFB, NC, and Seymour Johnson AFB, NC, such as the 73rd Air Tactical Control Squadron. Funding was required for caretaker costs, and relocation of personnel.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.6 Million

Funding was required for construction supporting units moving to Whiteman AFB, MO, as well as operation and maintenance, and environmental costs.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$50.4 Million

The 4950 Test Wing began its relocation to Edwards AFB, CA. Funding was required for construction at the gaining locations to support this unit and to move personnel and equipment, procurement, and environmental compliance and restoration operations.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$20.0 Million

The base closed 30 September 1993. Funding is primarily related to operation and maintenance costs, environmental requirements, and military personnel PCS.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$12.9 Million

The base closed 30 June 1993. Funding primarily related to operation and maintenance, and environmental compliance and restoration

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$40.9 Million

The base closed 30 September 1993. Funding required for construction for redirected activities and communications installation at gaining locations, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$51.8 Million

The base closed in 1992. Most of the funding consists of military construction. Also included are operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$45.1 Million

The base closed in 1993. Construction is required at Randolph AFB, TX , and at the Mather hospital which will become an annex to McClellan. Communications equipment must be installed in the new facilities. Funds are required for military personnel PCS, as well as procurement.

Program Management. Base Closure Account requirement: \$78.0 Million

Funding is related to planning and design costs for military construction at gaining locations and cantonment areas, additional manpower authorizations to administer the program, caretaker contracts, and disposal environmental studies.

FY 1994. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$192.6 Million. Grissom, Loring, Lowry, Richards-Gebaur and Rickenbacker closed by September 94. All active duty force structure at these bases relocated or retired, and all active military and civilian personnel relocated or were eliminated from Air Force end strength. In addition, the active flying mission assigned to MacDill has been terminated, and the remainder of the base was converted to an administrative base. The Air Force will continue funding the operation of the airfield until October 1995, with the largest requirements for environmental and operation and maintenance. Funds are required overall to pay both military and civilian PCS and civilian severance costs. Environmental studies will be completed or continued as necessary and environmental restoration will be initiated. Numerous units relocated or deactivated.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.2 Million

Funding is due to military construction costs, caretaker and disposal management team, and environmental requirements.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.9 Million

Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements. Also included is military construction.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.5 Million

Funding is due to environmental, and operation and maintenance requirements. Also included is military construction and procurement.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.9 Million
Funding is due to caretaker requirements.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.2 Million
Funding is due to caretaker requirements.

Grisson. Base Closure Account requirement: \$10.9 Million
The base closed in the fourth quarter. Funding is primarily related to construction of the AF Reserve cantonment area, relocation of forces, and environmental compliance and restoration costs and procurement.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.9 Million
The funding is primarily related to relocation of forces, and environmental compliance and restoration costs, and PCS of military personnel. The base closed in FY 1994.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$25.1 Million
The base closed in FY 1994. Funding supports relocation of remaining training courses to various other Technical Training Centers. The Air Reserve Personnel Center, Defense Finance and Accounting Service, and the 3567 USAF Recruiting Squadron remain in a cantonment area. The 1001 Space Systems Squadron will also remain in a separate cantonment area. Funding supports military personnel PCS as well as procurement and caretaker requirements.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.0 Million
The base is scheduled to complete all closure and realignment actions by October 1995, when it transfers to the Department of Commerce. The Joint Communications Support Element (JCSE) will remain. Funding supports procurement, and operation and maintenance costs.

Mvrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements..

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.9 Million
The base closed in FY 1994. Funding supports environmental, and caretaker requirements.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.5 Million
Funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.0 Million
Funding supports environmental cleanup, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.1 Million
Funding supports environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements..

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.8 Million
Funding is required to complete construction at Vandenberg AFB, CA., for transferring units.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.2 Million
Funding is required for military construction.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0 Million

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$16.2 Million
Funding is for planning and design for construction, as well as salaries and travel for personnel at headquarters AFBCA.

FY 1995. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$94.1 Million. Castle AFB, CA will close by the end of the year. All other bases will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.3 Million
Funding is required for construction, and caretaker costs.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.7 Million
Funding is required for caretaker costs.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$19.7 Million
Funding is required for construction, and operation and maintenance costs.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.6 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.3 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

Grisson. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.2 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.1 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.5 Million
Funding is required for military construction, and caretaker requirements.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.6 Million
Funding is required for military construction, and caretaker requirements.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.8 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.8 Million
Funding is required for military construction and caretaker requirements.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.2 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.8 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements and military construction.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.6 Million
Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.1 Million
Funding is required for military construction.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.6 Million
Funding is for military construction.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.3 Million
Funding is required for military construction.

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$13.0 Million
Funding is required to administer the environmental program, and for military construction.

FY 1996. Base Closure Account Requirement. \$168.7 Million. All bases recommended for closure have closed by the end of FY 95 and will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.8 Million
Funding is for military construction, and operation and maintenance.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0 Million

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$32.9 Million
Funding is for military construction, family housing construction, and operation and maintenance.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million
Funding is for caretaker requirements.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0 Million

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.8 Million
Funding supports military construction, and caretaker requirements.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$28.8 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements and military construction.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.7 Million
Funding supports military construction and caretaker requirements.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.9 Million
Funding supports military construction and caretaker requirements.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.6 Million

Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.8 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.6 Million
Funding supports caretaker and military construction requirements.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.5 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.4 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements and military construction.

Pease. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Norton. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.6 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$32.9 Million
Funding is for travel, and salaries of personnel assigned to headquarters, AFBCA.

FY 1997. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$23.0 Million. All bases closed by the end of FY 95 and will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred. Environmental restoration will continue until completion.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.0 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.7 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.6 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.7 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Myrtille Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.6 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.6 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.4 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.4 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Pease. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Norton. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million
Funding supports caretaker requirements.

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$16.1 Million
Funding is for travel, and salaries of personnel assigned to headquarters, AFBCA.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	21.9	351.9	27.2	40.1
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	181.8	162.7	63.0	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	0.8	117.0	85.3	54.0
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	14.7	13.0	0.0
Other	0.1	2.4	3.9	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	204.6	648.7	192.6	94.1
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	204.6	648.7	192.6	94.1
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	48.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	40.5	23.3	25.3
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	58.4	40.5	23.3	25.3
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	114.5	12.4	19.0	21.5
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
- Operations	0.0	21.9	37.3	42.4
Operation & Maintenance	0.0	-3.1	117.1	151.8
Military Personnel	0.0	152.3	359.9	451.3
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Civilian ES	0.0	-1,301.0	-2,535.0	-2,758.0
Military ES	0.0	-7,565.0	-10,692.0	-11,881.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	114.5	183.5	533.3	667.0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	-82.9	339.5	8.2	18.6
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
- Operations	0.0	-21.9	-37.3	-42.4
Environmental	181.8	162.7	63.0	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	49.4	120.1	-31.8	-97.8
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	-137.6	-347.0	-451.3
Other	0.1	2.4	3.9	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	40.5	23.3	25.3
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	148.4	505.7	-317.4	-547.6

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY

(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	80.1	0.0	521.2
Family Housing - Construction	18.5	0.0	18.7
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	14.1	12.1	433.7
Operation & Maintenance	56.0	10.9	324.0
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	0.0	27.7
Other	0.0	0.0	6.4
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	168.7	23.0	1,331.7
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	168.7	23.0	1,331.7
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	9.8
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	48.6
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	89.1
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0.0	0.0	147.5
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	22.2	23.0	212.6
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0
- Operations	43.8	45.3	190.6
Operation & Maintenance	162.0	168.1	595.9
Military Personnel	487.8	507.2	1,958.6
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Civilian ES	-2,758.0	-2,758.0	-2,758.0
Military ES	-11,881.0	-11,881.0	-11,881.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	715.8	743.6	2,957.7
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	57.9	-23.0	318.3
Family Housing - Construction	18.5	0.0	18.7
- Operations	-43.8	-45.3	-190.6
Environmental	14.1	12.1	433.7
Operation & Maintenance	-106.0	-157.2	-223.2
Military Personnel - PCS	-487.8	-507.2	-1,930.9
Other	0.0	0.0	6.4
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	89.1
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-547.1	-720.6	-1,478.6

Bergstrom AFB, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/BERGSTROM AFB, TX

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	14,964	1,350	1,774
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	11,219	29,300	2,863	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,310	998	513
Military Personnel - PCS	0	7,570	0	0
Other	0	602	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	11,219	58,746	5,211	2,287
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	11,219	58,746	5,211	2,287

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	15	0	0

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	1,867	1,933	2,001
Operation & Maintenance	0	-4,048	-1,187	-1,236
Military Personnel	0	20,554	39,641	41,246
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	30	30	30
Military ES	0	-1,043	-1,043	-1,043
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	18,373	40,387	42,011

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	14,964	1,350	1,774
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-1,867	-1,933	-2,001
Environmental	11,219	29,300	2,863	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	10,358	2,185	1,749
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-12,984	-39,641	-41,246
Other	0	602	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	11,219	40,388	-35,176	-39,724

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/BERGSTROM AFB, TX
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	13,480	0	31,568
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	43,382
Operation & Maintenance	305	40	8,166
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	7,570
Other	0	0	602
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,785	40	91,288
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	13,785	40	91,288
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	15
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,069	2,139	10,009
Operation & Maintenance	-1,285	-1,336	-9,092
Military Personnel	42,886	44,596	188,923
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	30	30	30
Military ES	-1,043	-1,043	-1,043
TOTAL SAVINGS	43,670	45,399	189,840
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	13,480	0	31,568
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-2,069	-2,139	-10,009
Environmental	0	0	43,382
Operation & Maintenance	1,590	1,376	17,258
Military Personnel - PCS	-42,886	-44,596	-181,353
Other	0	0	602
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-29,885	-45,359	-98,537

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Bergstrom Air Force Base, Texas

Closure Package: Bergstrom AFB, Texas, closed and assigned RF-4 aircraft retired in FY 1993. The Air Force Reserve units will remain in a cantonment area. The rest of the base is to be converted to a civilian airport. In this case, funds will be required for construction of facilities.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
FY 1996			
Texas/Bergstrom AFB	Conv Munitions Complex (AFR)*/BJHZ949003R	1996	2,100
Texas/Bergstrom AFB	Add/Alter BCE Complex (AFR)*/BJHZ949005R	1996	2,000
Texas/Bergstrom AFB	ADAL Maintenance Shops (AFR)*/BJHZ949006R	1996	2,900
Texas/Bergstrom AFB	Isolate Utilities/Fence (AFR)*/BJHZ949004R	1996	680
Texas/Bergstrom AFB	Alter Vehicle Maint Complex (AFR)/BJHZ949010	1996	500
Texas/Bergstrom AFB	Airman Dining Hall (AFR)/BJHZ949009	1996	2,400
Texas/Bergstrom AFB	Base Supply Warehouse (AFR)/BJHZ949001R	1996	2,900
Total FY 1996			13,480

*Previously shown as FY 1994 Project

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.3 Million for caretaker requirements.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations..

Bergstrom AFB, Texas Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55.39.6F	6. CATEGORY CODE 216-642	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949003R	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,100	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS COMPLEX	SF	14,500	115	1,668
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				240
UTILITIES	LS			(85)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(55)
SUBTOTAL				1,908
CONTINGENCY (5%)				95
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,003
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				120
TOTAL REQUEST				2,123
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a Munitions Complex within the Base Architectural Compatibility Standards. Work includes all necessary utilities.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 14,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a Munitions Complex. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Adequate space is required for a Munitions Complex. This facility requires space for inspection, testing, assemble, maintenance, and storage of missiles, munitions, and munition trailers. Space requirements include missile maintenance bay, classroom, office, parts/tool storage, locker space, trailer maintenance bay, paint spray room, covered storage, and multi-cubes. CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of Berstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment area. The existing munitions complex is not in the cantonment area and is now in area that will be used for a commercial airport. No facilities exist within the cantonment area that can be used for a Munitions Complex. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the facility provided by this project the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55.39.6F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949005R	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER COMPLEX		LS			1,790
ALTER BCE ADMIN/SHOPS/STORAGE		SF	6,500	40	(260)
ADD BCE ADMIN & SHOPS		SF	18,000	85	(1,530)
SUBTOTAL					1,790
CONTINGENCY (5%)					90
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,880
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					113
TOTAL REQUEST					1,993
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing space by demolishing walls and adding new walls and finishes. Work includes all necessary utilities, and alterations to existing utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 24,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Add to and alter Base Civil Engineering Administration, Shops, and storage. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Alteration of space is required to provide BCE administration, shops and storage. BCE shop space is required for carpentry, plumbing/heating, refrigeration, electrical, sheet-metal, welding and painting. BCE covered storage is required for the supplies and materials used by the BCE organization in its day-to-day operations. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment area and assume all Civil Engineering responsibilities. The current Civil Engineering Facility is outside of the cantonment and is in an area that will be redeveloped into a commercial airport by the City of Austin. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without the alterations provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55.39.6F	6. CATEGORY CODE 214-425	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949006R	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,900	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE SHOPS	LS			2,490
ALTER POL OPERATIONS	LS			(310)
REFUELER VEHICLE MAINT/PARKING	LS			(770)
ADD ENGINE I&R SHOP	LS			(355)
ALTER POL STORAGE	LS			(420)
ADD/ALTER AME/PMEL/EGRESS SHOPS	LS			(485)
ALTER WEAPONS RELEASE SHOPS	LS			(150)
SUBTOTAL				2,490
CONTINGENCY (10%)				249
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,739
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				164
TOTAL REQUEST				2,903
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing facilities and construct new facilities. Includes all utilities and necessary support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Add to and alter existing facilities to provide adequate space for the newly assigned Base Closure mission. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Alterations to various facilities are required to various shops and POL Operations. New facilities are required for a refueler vehicle maintenance shop, and a refueler parking area. Alteration of the existing POL storage is required to provide a fuels loading capability. CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment area. Currently all of the facilities required by this project are outside of the cantonment area. There is a facility within the cantonment area that can be added to and altered for POL Operations. There are no facilities in the cantonment that can be adequately altered for the Refueler Maintenance Shop/Parking. The existing fuel storage can be altered to meet the requirements of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the additions, alterations and new facilities provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		BASE CLOSURE-ISOLATE UTILITIES/PERIMETER FENCE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)	
55.39.6F	800-100	BJHZ949004R	680	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ISOLATE				
UTILITIES/PERIMETER FENCE	LS			610
ISOLATE UTILITIES AND METERING	LS			(307)
PERMETER SECURITY FENCE	LS			(131)
GATE ENTRANCE	LS			(172)
SUBTOTAL				610
CONTINGENCY (5%)				31
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				641
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				38
TOTAL REQUEST				679
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				680
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a perimeter security fence, construct an entrance gate, and isolate and meter existing utilities to serve the Air Force Reserve Cantonment area.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.				
PROJECT: Construct a Perimeter Security fence, a Gate Entrance, and isolate utilities.				
REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Adequate quantities of water, gas, and electricity are required to serve the cantonment area. A perimeter security fence is required to contain and protect the cantonment. An entrance gate is required to control access to the cantonment.				
CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a new cantonment area. The City of Austin plans to convert the surrounding active duty base into a major commercial airport. Existing utilities can be altered and metered to serve the cantonment area.				
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the work provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to secure themselves from the surrounding proposed commercial businesses. The Reserves will also not be able to control or meter utilities.				
ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		BASE CLOSURE-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
55396F	214-425	BJHZ949010	500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		SF	22,500	19	428
SUBTOTAL					428
CONTINGENCY (10%)					43
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					471
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					28
TOTAL REQUEST					499
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter a facility to house a Vehicle Maintenance Complex. Includes all necessary alterations to existing utilities and new utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 22,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 22,500 SF PROJECT: Alter Vehicle Maintenance Complex. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Adequately altered space is required to provide a Base Vehicle Maintenance Complex. Space is required for lubrication, inspection, general repair, painting, welding, upholstery, testing, cleaning, and minor parts fabrication. Space is also required for administration offices, maintenance control and analysis section, tool/parts room, and locker rooms. CURRENT SITUATION: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB calls for the Air Force Reserve to consolidate its units into a cantonment area. There is a facility within the cantonment that can be altered to meet the requirements of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly maintain their Government vehicles. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-AIRMEN DINING HALL		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 722-351	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949009	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-AIRMEN DINING HALL		SF	16,000	125	2,000
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					155
UTILITIES		LS			(80)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(60)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(15)
SUBTOTAL					2,155
CONTINGENCY (5%)					108
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,263
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					136
TOTAL REQUEST					2,399
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new Dining Hall to meet the Architectural Standards for Bergstrom AFB. Includes all necessary utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 16,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Dining Hall. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Adequate and appropriately designed space is required to provide a Base Dining Hall. Space is required for a kitchen, a dish washing area, an administrative area, a garbage and trash area, a food receiving platform, a refrigerated storage room, a dry storage room, a dining area, and a food service area. CURRENT SITUATION: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, calls for the Air Force Reserve to consolidate its units into a cantonment area. There are no adequate facilities within the cantonment area that can be used to meet the requirements of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the facility provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		BASE CLOSURE-BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
55.39.6F	442-758	BJHZ949001R	2,900	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	SF	50,000	50	2,500
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				105
UTILITIES	LS			(55)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(35)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(15)
SUBTOTAL				2,605
CONTINGENCY (5%)				130
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,735
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				164
TOTAL REQUEST				2,899
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new facility with concrete slab and footing, steel framing and brick veneer exterior. Includes all necessary utilities and support.</p> <p>11. REQUIREMENT: 50,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct Base Supply Administration and Warehouse. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB. Adequate space is required for a Base Supply Warehouse and it's administrative function. Space is required for bulk and bin storage of materials needed to support base operations. Also, space is required for receiving, shipping, packing, and crating activities. CURRENT SITUATION: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB calls for the Air Force Reserve to consolidate its units into a cantonment area. There are no facilities within the cantonment area that can be used to meet the requirements of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility, the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>				

Carswell Air Force Base, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	5,344	610	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	6,644	11,222	1,483	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	7,732	3,764	1,664
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2,840	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	6,644	27,138	5,857	1,664
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	6,644	27,138	5,857	1,664
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6,333	7,008	2,031
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	6,333	7,008	2,031
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	3,294	3,411	3,531
Operation & Maintenance	0	-24,725	-17,685	-18,300
Military Personnel	0	35,842	66,300	68,985
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-26	-26	-26
Military ES	0	-1,648	-1,648	-1,648
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	14,411	52,026	54,216
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	5,344	610	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-3,294	-3,411	-3,531
Environmental	6,644	11,222	1,483	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	32,457	21,449	19,964
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-33,002	-66,300	-68,985
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6,333	7,008	2,031
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	6,644	19,060	-39,161	-50,521

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	5,954
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	19,349
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	13,160
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2,840
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	41,303
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	41,303
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15,372
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	15,372
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,651	3,774	17,661
Operation & Maintenance	-18,911	-19,546	-99,167
Military Personnel	71,728	74,588	317,443
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-26	-26	-26
Military ES	-1,648	-1,648	-1,648
TOTAL SAVINGS	56,468	58,816	235,937
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	5,954
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,651	-3,774	-17,661
Environmental	0	0	19,349
Operation & Maintenance	18,911	19,546	112,327
Military Personnel - PCS	-71,728	-74,588	-314,603
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15,372
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-56,468	-58,816	-179,262

Carswell AFB, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Carswell Air Force Base, Texas

Closure Package: Carswell AFB, Texas, closed in FY 1993. The 301st Tactical Fighter Wing (AFR), 457th Tactical Fighter Squadron (AFR), 73rd Aerial Port Squadron (AFR), and 20th Medical Services Squadron (AFR) remain at Carswell AFB, in a cantonment area.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Homeowners Assistance Program: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	1,791	14,310
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	25,108	6,903	29,454	0
Operation & Maintenance	250	711	4,697	5,433
Military Personnel - PCS	0	11	0	0
Other	0	0	533	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	25,358	7,625	36,475	19,743
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	25,358	7,625	36,475	19,743

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,828	7,762	12,461
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	7,828	7,762	12,461

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	3,766
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	9,686
Military Personnel	0	0	0	27,231
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	-223
Military ES	0	0	0	-1,189
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	40,683

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	1,791	14,310
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	-3,766
Environmental	25,108	6,903	29,454	0
Operation & Maintenance	250	711	4,697	-4,253
Military Personnel - PCS	0	11	0	-27,231
Other	0	0	533	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,828	7,762	12,461
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	25,358	15,453	44,237	-8,479

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	10,000	0	26,101
Family Housing - Construction	18,500	0	18,500
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	61,465
Operation & Maintenance	4,387	950	16,428
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	11
Other	0	0	533
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	32,887	950	123,038
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	32,887	950	123,038
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	28,051
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	28,051
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,894	4,026	11,686
Operation & Maintenance	14,507	15,057	39,250
Military Personnel	46,838	48,706	122,775
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-223	-223	-223
Military ES	-1,189	-1,189	-1,189
TOTAL SAVINGS	65,239	67,789	173,711
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	10,000	0	26,101
Family Housing - Construction	18,500	0	18,500
- Operations	-3,894	-4,026	-11,686
Environmental	0	0	61,465
Operation & Maintenance	-10,120	-14,107	-22,822
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,838	-48,706	-122,764
Other	0	0	533
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	28,051
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-32,352	-66,839	-22,622

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Castle Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: Castle AFB, California, will close in FY 1995. The 93 Bombardment Wing will deactivate. Per the 1993 Commission, the bomber and tanker Combat Crew Training missions are redirected to Barksdale AFB, Louisiana (B-52) and Altus AFB, Oklahoma (KC-135).

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1996</u>			
Oklahoma/Altus AFB	Flight Training Facility*/AGGN953006	1996	10,000
Total FY 1996			10,000
*Previously shown as FY 1995 Project			

Family Housing Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1996</u>			
Oklahoma/Altus AFB	Family Housing (180 units)*/AGGN954015	1996	18,500
Total FY 1996			18,500
*Previously shown as FY 1995 Project at larger scope of 233 units.			

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$4.4 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Castle AFB, California Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		BASE CLOSURE-FLIGHT SIMULATOR/ ACADEMIC FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
8.57.96	171-212	AGGN953006	10,000	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-FLIGHT SIMULATOR/ ACADEMIC FACILITY	LS			6,833
FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING	SF	40,500	125	(5,063)
FLIGHT TRAINING CLASSROOM	SF	22,400	79	(1,770)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,235
SPECIAL FOUNDATION	LS			(190)
ELECTRICAL (PRIMARY)	LS			(380)
UTILITIES, SITE IMPROVEMENT, PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,525)
EMCS CONNECTION	LS			(45)
COMM DUCT	LS			(95)
SUBTOTAL				9,068
CONTINGENCY (5%)				453
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,521
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				571
TOTAL REQUEST				10,092
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,000
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Site improvements, special foundations, reinforced concrete masonry and steel structure, primary and secondary electric, water, sewer, and road upgrades, high bay simulator areas with overhead cranes, fire protection, security and communications systems, raised computer flooring, maintenance areas, briefing rooms, pavements, and other necessary support.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 250 Tons.</p>				
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: As required.</p> <p>PROJECT: Flight simulator and academic instructor/classroom facility.</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Construction is required to provide adequate simulator, and academic classroom and instructor space for KC-135 mission qualification and continuation training. This facility will house six training devices, instructor offices, administrative support areas, environmentally controlled computer support areas, academic classrooms, mechanical equipment, equipment maintenance areas and contractor support space.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Adequate facilities are not available to provide the required simulator and academic space necessary to relocate the KC-135 Combat Crew Training Squadron to Altus AFB.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without facilities for the simulators and academic space requirement, crew training cannot be performed.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>				

Castle AFB, California Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Family Housing Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)				2. DATE																																																													
AIR FORCE																																																																			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. PROJECT TITLE																																																															
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA				BASE CLOSURE - FAMILY HOUSING & LAND PURCHASE																																																															
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT		6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT COST(\$000)																																																														
8.87.41		711-142	AGGN954015		18,500																																																														
9. COST ESTIMATES																																																																			
ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)																																																													
BRAC FAMILY HOUSING			UN	180	54,833	9,870																																																													
SUPPORTING FACILITIES						7,215																																																													
MAINTENANCE FACILITY			LS			(250)																																																													
SITE PREPARATION			LS			(615)																																																													
ROADS AND PAVING			LS			(1,200)																																																													
UTILITIES			LS			(1,310)																																																													
LANDSCAPING			LS			(1,260)																																																													
RECREATION			LS			(180)																																																													
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS/GARAGES			LS			(2,140)																																																													
LAND ACQUISITION			LS			(260)																																																													
SUBTOTAL						17,085																																																													
CONTINGENCY (5%)						854																																																													
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						17,939																																																													
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD						561																																																													
TOTAL REQUEST						18,500																																																													
AREA COST FACTOR				.92																																																															
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct family housing units to include heating, cooling, appliances, garages, patios and storage. Support facilities include site grading, flood control and drainage, road systems (including bridges, sidewalks, curb and gutter, utility distribution systems, landscaping, sprinkler systems, maintenance facility, and recreational areas. Includes land purchase (65 Acres).</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">UNIT TYPE</th> <th style="text-align: center;">NET AREA</th> <th style="text-align: center;">PROJECT FACTOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">\$/ NSF</th> <th style="text-align: center;">NO. UNITS</th> <th style="text-align: right;">TOTAL COST</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>JNCO 2BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">950</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td style="text-align: right;">4,754,750</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JNCO 3BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1200</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1,201,200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SNCO 3BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1350</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2,027,025</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SNCO 4BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1450</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: right;">362,863</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CGO 2BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">950</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: right;">475,475</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CGO 3BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1350</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: right;">337,838</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CGO 4BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1450</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: right;">290,290</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FGO 3BR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1400</td> <td style="text-align: center;">.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">55</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> <td style="text-align: right;">420,420</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">180</td> <td style="text-align: right;">9,869,861</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/ NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST	JNCO 2BR	950	.91	55	100	4,754,750	JNCO 3BR	1200	.91	55	20	1,201,200	SNCO 3BR	1350	.91	55	30	2,027,025	SNCO 4BR	1450	.91	55	5	362,863	CGO 2BR	950	.91	55	10	475,475	CGO 3BR	1350	.91	55	5	337,838	CGO 4BR	1450	.91	55	4	290,290	FGO 3BR	1400	.91	55	6	420,420					180	9,869,861
UNIT TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/ NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST																																																														
JNCO 2BR	950	.91	55	100	4,754,750																																																														
JNCO 3BR	1200	.91	55	20	1,201,200																																																														
SNCO 3BR	1350	.91	55	30	2,027,025																																																														
SNCO 4BR	1450	.91	55	5	362,863																																																														
CGO 2BR	950	.91	55	10	475,475																																																														
CGO 3BR	1350	.91	55	5	337,838																																																														
CGO 4BR	1450	.91	55	4	290,290																																																														
FGO 3BR	1400	.91	55	6	420,420																																																														
				180	9,869,861																																																														
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 180 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct 180 military family housing units. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Project is required to provide adequate family housing for the additional families expected at Altus AFB as a result of the KC-135 combat Crew Training School (CCTS) relocating from Castle AFB. CURRENT SITUATION: The Housing Market Analysis at Altus AFB shows a projected 1998 effective housing deficit of 286 units including the</p>																																																																			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE - FAMILY HOUSING & LAND PURCHASE	AGGN954015	
<p>manpower authorizations associated with the BRAC move. The CCTS relocating from Castle AFB has added accompanied enlisted and officer personnel for a total requirement of 180 additional units. Altus, Oklahoma, which has a population of approximately 22,000. The nearest large community is Lawton, Oklahoma, located 50 miles east of Altus AFB. The city of Altus currently does not have sufficient suitable quarters to accept the expected population increase. Also, the city recently gained a large meat packing operation, which further increased the pressure on the local housing market.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The additional Air force members and their families will have to be housed in unacceptable off-base quarters, or they will be required to live excessive distances from the base to find adequate housing. Either situation will have an adverse affect on morale, performance, and the retention of quality personnel.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.</p>		

Tri-Service Family Housing Cost Model

SERVICE AIR FORCE LOCATION ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA

BASELINE:

$$(180) (1096) (55) = \$10,846,000$$

$$(\text{No. Units}) (\text{ANSF}) (\$/\text{NSF}) = 5' \text{ Line Cost}$$

PROJECT FACTORS:

$$(0.92) (1.00) (0.99) = 0.91$$

$$(\text{ACF}) (\text{Project Size}) (\text{Unit Size}) = \text{Project Factor}$$

HOUSING COST:

$$(10,846,000) (0.91) = \$9,869,860$$

$$(5' \text{ Line Cost}) (\text{Project Factor}) = \text{Housing Cost}$$

$$(0) (0.92) (180) = \$0$$

$$(\text{Solar Unit Cost}) (\text{ACF}) (\text{Units}) = \text{Total Project Solar Cost}$$

$$((9,869,860) + (0)) / (180) = \$54,833$$

$$((\text{Housing Cost}) + (\text{Solar})) / (\text{No. Units}) = \text{Average Unit Cost}$$

SUPPORTING COST:

MANAGEMENT OFFICE	0
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	250,000
SITE PREPARATION	615,000
ROADS AND PAVING	1,200,000
UTILITIES	1,310,000
LANDSCAPING	1,260,000
RECREATION	180,000
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS/GARAGES	2,140,000
LAND ACQUISITION	260,000
Support Cost	7,215,000

SUMMARY:

$$(9,869,860) + (0) + (7,215,000) = \$17,084,860$$

$$(\text{Housing Cost}) + (\text{Solar Cost}) + (\text{Support Cost}) = \text{Subtotal}$$

$$(17,084,860) (1.050) (1.055) = \$18,925,753 \text{ Say: } \$18,926,000$$

$$(\text{Subtotal}) (\text{Contingency}) (\text{SIOH}) = \text{Project Cost (Round)}$$

$$(18,926,000) / (180) (1096) (0.92) = \$104$$

$$(\text{Project Cost}) / (\text{\# of Units}) (\text{ANSF}) (\text{ACF}) = \text{Total Project Cost/SF}$$

PROJECT SIZE FACTOR - (# OF UNITS)		UNIT SIZE - (AVG NET SF)	
1 - 4 = 1.15	100 - 199 = 1.00	600-749 = 1.05	1051-1150 = 0.99
5 - 19 = 1.10	200 - 299 = 0.98	750-849 = 1.03	1151-1250 = 0.98
20 - 49 = 1.05	300 - 499 = 0.96	850-949 = 1.01	1251-1350 = 0.97
50 - 99 = 1.02	500+ = 0.95	950-1050 = 1.00	1351+ = 0.96

Eaker AFB, Arkansas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/EAKER AFB, AR (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	11,029	9,579	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,729	1,943	2,559
Military Personnel - PCS	0	584	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	11,029	16,892	1,943	2,559
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	11,029	16,892	1,943	2,559
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,719	953	104
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	4,719	953	104
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	2,965	3,070	3,178
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,826	17,114	17,777
Military Personnel	0	19,338	40,059	41,681
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-234	-234	-234
Military ES	0	-1,031	-1,031	-1,031
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	29,129	60,243	62,636
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-2,965	-3,070	-3,178
Environmental	11,029	9,579	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	-97	-15,171	-15,218
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-18,754	-40,059	-41,681
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,719	953	104
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	11,029	-7,518	-57,347	-59,973

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/EAKER AFB, AR

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	20,608
Operation & Maintenance	1,374	250	12,855
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	584
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,374	250	34,047
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,374	250	34,047
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	5,776
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	5,776
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,286	3,397	15,896
Operation & Maintenance	18,432	19,118	79,267
Military Personnel	43,338	45,066	189,482
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-234	-234	-234
Military ES	-1,031	-1,031	-1,031
TOTAL SAVINGS	65,056	67,581	284,645
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,286	-3,397	-15,896
Environmental	0	0	20,608
Operation & Maintenance	-17,058	-18,868	-66,412
Military Personnel - PCS	-43,338	-45,066	-188,898
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	5,776
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-63,682	-67,331	-244,822

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Eaker Air Force Base, Arkansas

Closure Package: Eaker AFB, Arkansas, closed 15 December 1992. The 97 Bombardment Wing was inactivated as a result of the closure. The B-52G aircraft retired and the assigned KC-135A aircraft were redistributed to other active and Air Reserve Component units in 1991.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.4 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

England AFB, Louisiana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/ENGLAND AFB, LA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	9,502	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	7,776	10,497	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,605	2,171	2,292
Military Personnel - PCS	0	206	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,776	25,810	2,171	2,292
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	7,776	25,810	2,171	2,292
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	1,920	905	91
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	1,920	905	91
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	1,867	1,933	2,001
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,936	21,762	22,586
Military Personnel	0	17,444	32,041	33,338
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	0	-859	-859	-859
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	22,247	55,736	57,925
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	9,502	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-1,867	-1,933	-2,001
Environmental	7,776	10,497	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,669	-19,591	-20,294
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-17,238	-32,041	-33,338
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	1,920	905	91
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7,776	5,483	-52,660	-55,542

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/ENGLAND AFB, LA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	9,502
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	18,273
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	10,068
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	206
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	38,049
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	38,049
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	17	0	2,933
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	17	0	2,933
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,069	2,139	10,009
Operation & Maintenance	23,403	24,253	94,940
Military Personnel	34,664	36,046	153,533
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	-859	-859	-859
TOTAL SAVINGS	60,136	62,438	258,482
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	9,502
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-2,069	-2,139	-10,009
Environmental	0	0	18,273
Operation & Maintenance	-23,403	-24,253	-84,872
Military Personnel - PCS	-34,664	-36,046	-153,327
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	17	0	2,933
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-60,119	-62,438	-217,500

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/England Air Force Base, Louisiana

Closure Package: England AFB, Louisiana, closed 15 December 1992.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Grissom AFB, Indiana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/GRISSOM AFB, IN
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	2,918	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,354	6,100	481	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	478	3,581	3,221
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,337	3,791	0
Other	0	0	103	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,354	7,915	10,874	3,221
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,354	7,915	10,874	3,221
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	17	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	17	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	5,799	6,003
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	6,194	6,761
Military Personnel	0	0	21,523	44,472
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-18	-18
Military ES	0	0	-1,162	-1,162
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	33,516	57,236
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	2,918	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-5,799	-6,003
Environmental	1,354	6,100	481	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	478	-2,613	-3,540
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,337	-17,732	-44,472
Other	0	0	103	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	17	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,354	7,932	-22,642	-54,015

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/GRISSOM AFB, IN

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	8,500	0	11,418
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	7,935
Operation & Maintenance	3,291	700	11,271
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	5,128
Other	0	0	103
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	11,791	700	35,855
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	11,791	700	35,855

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	17
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	17

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,207	6,416	24,425
Operation & Maintenance	6,994	7,235	27,184
Military Personnel	46,240	48,083	160,318
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-18	-18	-18
Military ES	-1,162	-1,162	-1,162
TOTAL SAVINGS	59,441	61,734	211,927

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	8,500	0	11,418
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,207	-6,416	-24,425
Environmental	0	0	7,935
Operation & Maintenance	-3,703	-6,535	-15,913
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,240	-48,083	-155,190
Other	0	0	103
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	17
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-47,650	-61,034	-176,055

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Grissom Air Force Base, Indiana

Closure Package: Grissom AFB, Indiana, closed during FY 94. Funding is for construction at Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1996</u>			
Ohio/Wright-Patterson AFB	NEACP Complex*/ZHTV943204	1996	8,500
Total FY 1996			8,500

*Previously shown as FY 1994 Project

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.3 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Grissom AFB, Indiana Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
AIR FORCE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		BASE CLOSURE-NEACP COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
3.20.15F	211-154	ZHTV943204	8,500	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-NEACP COMPLEX	PN	75	47,850	3,589
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				4,045
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS/VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(435)
AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIP OPS AREA	LS			(90)
REFUEL VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(310)
AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIP MAINT	SF	10,000	125	(1,250)
ALARM AND TRAFFIC CONTROL SYS	LS			(310)
AIRCRAFT PARKING UTILITIES/LIGHTING	LS			(650)
BLAST FENCE AND PAVING ALTERATIONS	LF	800	1,031	(825)
DEMOLISH BUILDINGS	LS			(175)
SUBTOTAL				7,634
CONTINGENCY (5%)				382
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,016
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				481
TOTAL REQUEST				8,497
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct pre-engineered metal facility on concrete slab for support equip facilities. Concrete, CMU, steel structure for quarters and operations. Construct parking area for refueling and alert vehicles. Install alarms and traffic control system for aircraft and required utilities. Includes aircraft blast fences and alterations to the parking apron. Airfield lighting/access roads. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Provide a National Emergency Airborne Command Post (NEACP) Forward Operating Location (FOL). This project is due to the closure of Grissom AFB. REQUIREMENT: A FOL is required for NEACP which can provide adequate support for mission planning, aircraft service and maintenance and personnel. Personnel must be provided adequate quarters to be rested for nationally critical missions. CURRENT SITUATION: The NEACP FOL is currently located at Grissom AFB. Wright-Patterson can support similar large aircraft, but must be upgraded to meet the unique requirements of the NEACP E-4. Security, utility support, and adequate facilities are not adequate for this one-of-a-kind asset at Wright-Patterson today. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The National Emergency Airborne Command Post will be forced to operate from facilities which provide only minimum mission capabilities. This one-of-a-kind mission may be subject to degradation because of inadequate aircraft mission and personnel support. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

Loring AFB, Maine Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/LORING AFB, ME
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	22,830	26,138	22,618	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,120	9,483	4,094
Military Personnel - PCS	0	400	4,804	0
Other	0	553	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	22,830	30,211	36,905	4,094
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	22,830	30,211	36,905	4,094

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,219	4,120	7,919
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	4,219	4,120	7,919

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	5,912	6,120
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	22,833	31,958
Military Personnel	0	0	17,708	34,900
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-429	-429
Military ES	0	0	-1,019	-1,019
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	46,453	72,978

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-5,912	-6,120
Environmental	22,830	26,138	22,618	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,120	-13,350	-27,864
Military Personnel - PCS	0	400	-12,904	-34,900
Other	0	553	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,219	4,120	7,919
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	22,830	34,430	-5,428	-60,965

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/LORING AFB, ME

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	71,586
Operation & Maintenance	3,303	600	20,600
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	5,204
Other	0	0	553
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,303	600	97,943
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,303	600	97,943
 FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16,258
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	16,258
 SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,328	6,542	24,902
Operation & Maintenance	33,138	34,373	122,302
Military Personnel	36,287	37,734	126,629
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-429	-429	-429
Military ES	-1,019	-1,019	-1,019
TOTAL SAVINGS	75,753	78,649	273,833
 NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,328	-6,542	-24,902
Environmental	0	0	71,586
Operation & Maintenance	-29,835	-33,773	-101,702
Military Personnel - PCS	-36,287	-37,734	-121,425
Other	0	0	553
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16,258
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-72,450	-78,049	-159,632

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Loring Air Force Base, Maine

Closure Package: Loring AFB, Maine, closed in FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.3 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Lowry AFB, Colorado Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/LOWRY AFB, CO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	94,827	9,504	1,272
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	3,045	10,410	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	15,474	8,046	3,232
Military Personnel - PCS	0	25	4,366	0
Other	0	409	3,163	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,045	121,145	25,079	4,504
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,045	121,145	25,079	4,504

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	16	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	16	0	0

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	2,956	3,060
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	14,692	22,391
Military Personnel	0	0	12,446	20,872
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-378	-378
Military ES	0	0	-522	-522
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	30,094	46,323

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	94,827	9,504	1,272
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-2,956	-3,060
Environmental	3,045	10,410	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	15,474	-6,646	-19,159
Military Personnel - PCS	0	25	-8,080	-20,872
Other	0	409	3,163	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	16	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3,045	121,161	-5,015	-41,819

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/LOWRY AFB, CO

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	25,450	0	131,053
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	13,455
Operation & Maintenance	3,303	700	30,755
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	4,391
Other	0	0	3,572
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	28,753	700	183,226
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	28,753	700	183,226
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	16
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,164	3,271	12,451
operation & Maintenance	23,233	24,118	84,434
Military Personnel - PCS	21,702	22,567	77,587
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-378	-378	-378
Military ES	-522	-522	-522
TOTAL SAVINGS	48,099	49,956	174,472
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	25,450	0	131,053
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,164	-3,271	-12,451
Environmental	0	0	13,455
Operation & Maintenance	-19,930	-23,418	-53,679
Military Personnel - PCS	-21,702	-22,567	-73,196
Other	0	0	3,572
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-19,346	-49,256	8,770

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado

Closure Package: Lowry AFB, Colorado, closed in FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1996</u>			
Colorado/Buckley ANGB	Enlisted Dormitory**/CRWU953050	1996	5,600
Maryland/Ft. Meade	AFIS Audio Visual School**/41524	1996	14,000
Texas/Lackland AFB	Alter Technical Training**/MPLS913333	1996	2,250
Texas/Sheppard AFB	Base Roads/VNVP953015	1996	1,800
Texas/Sheppard AFB	Central Prep Kitchen/Bakery**/VNVP953004	1996	1,800

Total FY 1996

25,450

**Previously shown as FY 1993 Project

Conjunctively-Funded Construction:

<u>Location/Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Total Cost (\$000)</u>	<u>Conj Fund (\$000)</u>	<u>Source</u>
Ft Meade/AFIS Audio Visual	1996	36,000	22,000	Army BRAC
Buckley ANGB/Enlisted Dormitory	1996	14,000	8,400	AF MILCON

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.3 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Lowry AFB, Colorado Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BUCKLEY ANG BASE, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ENLISTED DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.71.34	6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	7. PROJECT NUMBER CRWU953050	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 5,600		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
DORMITORY		LS			4,200
DORMITORY		SF	30,000	140	(4,200)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					830
UTILITIES		LS			(485)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(190)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(155)
SUBTOTAL					5,030
CONTINGENCY (5%)					252
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,282
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					317
TOTAL REQUEST					5,599
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					5,600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls, structural frame and built-up roof. Includes room-bath-room modules, laundries, storage and lounge areas and supporting facilities. Air Conditioning: 40 Tons. Grade Mix: 150 El-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing. REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: This Air National Guard Base has no dormitories. Housing is currently located at Lowry AFB which is scheduled for closure in 1994. Personnel assigned to Buckley and housed at Lowry will have no place to go after 1994. With the increase in manpower from the new wing, the shortfall will be even greater. Local rentals are beyond the financial means of the enlisted personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. ADDITIONAL: This is a companion to AFMC project CRWU961460, Troop Support Facilities, which provides living quarters for 150 additional personnel, dining facility, and new fitness center. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT ARMY/BCA		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. DATE FEB 1994	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION Fort Meade Maryland			4. PROJECT TITLE Applied Instruction Building		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 171	7. PROJECT NUMBER 41524	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth 15,000 Approp 15,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITY					
Applied Instruction	SF	104,496	103.23	24,333	
General Instruction	SF	45,672	93.49	(10,787)	
Operations	SF	69,485	90.14	(4,270)	
Library	SF	13,000	100.52	(6,263)	
EMCS Installation/Connection	LS	--	--	(1,307)	
Building Information Systems	LS	--	--	(52)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					
Electric Service	LS	--	--	2,534	
Water, Sewer, Gas	LS	--	--	(298)	
Steam And/Or Chilled Water Distr	LS	--	--	(82)	
Paving, Walks, Curbs And Gutters	LS	--	--	(39)	
Storm Drainage	LS	--	--	(808)	
Site Imp(766) Demo(167)	LS	--	--	(168)	
Information Systems	LS	--	--	(933)	
				(206)	
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST				25,867	
CONTINGENCY PERCENT (5.00%)				1,343	
SUBTOTAL				28,210	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (6.00%)				1,693	
TOTAL REQUEST				29,903	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				30,000	
INSTALLED EQUIPMENT-OTHER APPROPRIATIONS				(420)	
10. Description of Proposed Construction					
Construct a Defense Media School to include applied instruction, general instruction, and instruction support facilities; student support facilities and spaces, school operations and administration facilities, and personnel support facilities. Project includes classrooms, studios and laboratories for photo-journalism and public affairs course, equipment, and broadcast; record and video library; television studios; prop, control, and editing rooms; instructor's offices; maintenance areas; lounges; latrines; storage; personnel and freight elevators; information systems; physical security measures; and lightning protection and mechanical rooms. Connect to energy monitoring and control system (EMCS). Supporting facilities include utilities upgrade; electric service; exterior lighting; fire protection and alarm systems; underground steam supply and return lines; paving, walks, curbs and gutters; parking; storm drainage; information systems; and site improvements. Demolish existing buildings (4,000 SF) within the footprint to include removal and disposal of asbestos, lead paint, and other hazardous materials. Heating will be provided by an existing steam plant. Air conditioning (750 tons) will be provided by self-contained systems. Access for the handicapped will be provided.					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			BASE CLOSURE-ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING SUPPORT FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	171-627	MPLS913333	2,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING	SF	24,700	38	939	
SUPPORT FACILITY				980	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				(350)	
UTILITIES	LS			(315)	
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	EA	90	3,500	(40)	
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	SF	19,000	2	(275)	
EMCS	PT	273	1,007	1,919	
SUBTOTAL				192	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				2,111	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				127	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				2,238	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,250	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter all three floors of facility. Includes demolition, rearrangement and provision of interior partitions, mechanical upgrade, cathodic protection, EMCS points, communication support, and other necessary support. Provides administration, classroom, laboratory, and computer room.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 350,588 SF ADEQUATE: 63,379 SF SUBSTANDARD: 156,709 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter building at Medina annex for technical training support personnel. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Closure of Lowry AFB. A facility of adequate size and configuration is required to house students, faculty and equipment for services and log plans, contracting, disaster preparedness, and supply training. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Lackland must alter an existing 1000 person dormitory to beddown all the technical training courses and staff since no other facilities are available. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Training cannot be accomodated thereby jeopardizing the realignment from and closure of Lowry AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			BASE CLOSURE-RENOVATE ROADS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	851-147	VNVP953015	1,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-RENOVATE ROADS	LS			1,560	
BRIDWELL ROAD	LF	2,500	260	(650)	
MISSILE ROAD	LF	3,500	260	(910)	
SUBTOTAL				1,560	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				156	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,716	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				103	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,819	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Repair Bridwell and Missile Roads to include removing existing bituminous surface, repairing base course and sub base, replacing surface treatment, providing drainage structures and curb and gutter where required, and restriping and painting as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Repair Bridwell and Missile Roads. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB. Repair of base roads is required due to damage generated during construction of the new training facilities. Extensive traffic by heavy construction equipment, delivery of materials by heavy trucks, and paving cuts required for extension of underground utilities to the work site accelerated deterioration of the pavements. CURRENT SITUATION: Increased traffic by construction equipment and other vehicles during construction of the new Base Closure funded facilities has caused the two main base roads to become badly deteriorated. Road construction was not part of the original program since each facility was programmed individually and sited within existing street boundaries. The main roads surrounding the construction sites have received heavy to severe damage during construction operations. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Birdwell and Missile Roads are two of the major thoroughfares leading to the aircraft, fuels, fire training, civil engineering and medical training facilities. The Missile Road gate has recently opened to handle the heavy vehicular traffic anticipated on the north side of the base. This road leads directly to these Base Closure funded training facilities. Without repairs, these roads will continue to fail, damage will become extensive and the roads will become impassible. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS			BASE CLOSURE-CENTRAL PREP KITCHEN/BAKERY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	723-385	VNVP953004	1,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-CENTRAL PREP					
KITCHEN/BAKERY		LS			1,271
CENTRAL PREPARATION KITCHEN		SF	5,900	165	(974)
PASTRY KITCHEN		SF	1,800	165	(297)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					330
UTILITIES		LS			(165)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(160)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(5)
SUBTOTAL					1,601
CONTINGENCY (5%)					80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,681
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					101
TOTAL REQUEST					1,782
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, structural frame, built-up roof, landscaping and all utilities. Relocate existing sanitary and storm sewer lines as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 7,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct an addition to Bldg 61, the Base Cold Storage/Troop Subsistence Warehouse, to house a Central Preparation Kitchen and Bakery for serving base dining halls. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB, CO. Provide an adequate facility for the central preparation of foods to be cooked and served at the various dining halls serving Sheppard AFB. CURRENT SITUATION: Six dining halls, all located in student dormitories, serve 7,000 meals daily to permanent party and student enlisted members. This total is projected to rise to 13,000 meals/day by FY 95. A seventh dining hall is under construction. Each kitchen prepares foods for cooking and serving separately, resulting in duplication of effort and excessive floorspace requirements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Existing food preparation methods will continue to require excessive manpower and space. ADDITIONAL: Funding to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

MacDill AFB, Florida Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/MACDILL AFB, FL
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	2,472	0	8,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,686	117	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	209	1,950	1,410
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	93	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	14,686	2,798	2,043	9,610
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	14,686	2,798	2,043	9,610
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	57	35	2,563
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	57	35	2,563
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	3,628	5,160
Military Personnel	0	0	13,100	16,765
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-76	-76
Military ES	0	0	-424	-424
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	16,728	21,925
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	2,472	0	8,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,686	117	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	209	-1,678	-3,750
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-13,100	-16,765
Other	0	0	93	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	57	35	2,563
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,686	2,855	-14,650	-9,752

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/MACDILL AFB, FL

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	10,672
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	14,803
Operation & Maintenance	1,438	250	5,257
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	93
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,438	250	30,825
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,438	250	30,825
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2,655
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2,655
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5,351	5,551	19,690
Military Personnel - PCS	17,432	18,127	65,424
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-76	-76	-76
Military ES	-424	-424	-424
TOTAL SAVINGS	22,783	23,678	85,114
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	10,672
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	14,803
Operation & Maintenance	-3,913	-5,301	-14,433
Military Personnel - PCS	-17,432	-18,127	-65,424
Other	0	0	93
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2,655
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-21,345	-23,428	-51,634

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/MacDill Air Force Base, Florida

Closure Package: MacDill AFB, Florida, realigned force structure and partially closed by mid-FY 1994. All F-16 aircraft realigned to Luke AFB, Arizona. The 1993 Commission recommended that the Joint Communications Support Element (JCSE) remain at MacDill as long as the airfield is non-DOD operated. Operation of the airfield at MacDill will be taken over by the Department of Commerce or another Federal agency.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.4 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/MYRTLE BEACH AFB, SC
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	8,308	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	29,120	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,154	2,253	2,762
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,230	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	29,120	14,692	2,253	2,762
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	29,120	14,692	2,253	2,762
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,914	1,040	115
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	7,914	1,040	115
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	2,196	2,274	2,354
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,017	8,159	8,496
Military Personnel	0	18,055	32,075	33,374
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	0	-932	-932	-932
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	22,268	42,508	44,224
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	8,308	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-2,196	-2,274	-2,354
Environmental	29,120	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,137	-5,906	-5,734
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-16,825	-32,075	-33,374
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,914	1,040	115
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	29,120	338	-39,215	-41,347

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/MYRTLE BEACH AFB, SC
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	4,450	0	12,758
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	29,120
Operations & Maintenance	2,209	300	12,678
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,230
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	6,659	300	55,786
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	6,659	300	55,786
 FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	9,069
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	9,069
 SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,434	2,516	11,774
Operations & Maintenance	8,828	9,178	36,678
Military Personnel - PCS	34,701	36,084	154,289
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	-932	-932	-932
TOTAL SAVINGS	45,963	47,778	202,741
 NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	4,450	0	12,758
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-2,434	-2,516	-11,774
Environmental	0	0	29,120
Operations & Maintenance	-6,619	-8,878	-24,000
Military Personnel - PCS	-34,701	-36,084	-153,059
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	9,069
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-39,304	-47,478	-137,886

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, South Carolina

Closure Package: Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina, closed in FY 1993.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1996</u>			
N. Carolina/Pope AFB	Munitions Storage Complex/TMKH933621	1996	4,450
Total FY 1996			4,450

Conjunctively-Funded Construction:

<u>Location/Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Total Cost (\$000)</u>	<u>Conj Fund (\$000)</u>	<u>Source</u>
Pope AFB/Munitions Storage Comp	1996	8,900	4,450	AF MILCON

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$2.2 Million

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
AIR FORCE		BASE CLOSURE-MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX		
POPE AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
2.71.31	422-253	TMKH933621	4,450	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX	LS			2,724
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE	SF	6,200	94	(583)
CMU MANAGEMENT ADMINISTRATION	SF	1,500	150	(225)
MUNITIONS STORAGE, MAINT & INSPECTION	SF	11,300	120	(1,356)
MAGAZINES (4 BAYS)	LS			(560)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,280
UTILITIES	LS			(585)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(425)
SECURITY FENCE	LS			(90)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(180)
SUBTOTAL				4,004
CONTINGENCY (5%)				200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,204
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				252
TOTAL REQUEST				4,456
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,450
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundations and floor slabs, masonry walls, steel truss system and sloped standing seam metal roof, fence, fire hydrant, lighting, sprinkler and alarm system, pavements and other necessary support. Provide two each inert storage facilities.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.				
PROJECT: Construct a Munitions Storage Complex.				
REQUIREMENT: Closure of Myrtle Beach AFB, SC. Provide a properly sized and functionally adequate munitions complex for the maintenance and storage of munitions required to support the new A/OA-10 squadron being assigned to Pope AFB.				
CURRENT SITUATION: Pope Air Force Base is a Tactical Airlift Base. As such, there is no requirement for a munitions storage complex, nor does one exist. The munitions that are required to be stored, mainly small arms, are stored in Army facilities some 10 miles from Pope. The Army's complex has no excess capacity, is sited so that it cannot be expanded, and is too far from Pope to support the new A/OA-10 squadron. A new munitions complex is required in close proximity to Pope AFB to meet the new mission requirements.				
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without adequate munitions maintenance and storage facilities, simultaneous operations will continue to be performed in congested maintenance areas adversely impacting the base mission and exposing personnel to a high risk, life-threatening work environment.				
ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A conjunctively funded project (TMKH933613) will address the requirement for the F-16 deficiency.				

Richards-Gebaur AFB, Missouri Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/RICHARDS-GEBAUR ARS, MO

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	33,850	0	610
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,024	667	753	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,106	7,178	3,202
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,024	36,623	7,931	3,812
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,024	36,623	7,931	3,812

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	1	2
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	1	2

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,433	4,799
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-108	-108
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,433	4,799

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	33,850	0	610
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,024	667	753	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,106	5,745	-1,597
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	1	2
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2,024	36,623	6,499	-985

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF RICHARDS-GEBAUR ARS, MO

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	34,460
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	3,444
Operations & Maintenance	3,274	600	16,360
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,274	600	54,264
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,274	600	54,264
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	3
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	3
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	4,990	5,192	16,414
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-108	-108	-108
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	4,990	5,192	16,414
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	34,460
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	3,444
Operation & Maintenance	-1,716	-4,592	-54
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	3
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-1,716	-4,592	37,853

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Missouri

Closure Package: Richards-Gebaur Air Reserve Station (ARS), Missouri, closed at the end of the fourth quarter of FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.3 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/RICKENBACKER AGB, OH

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	4,888	30,212	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,414	4,619	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	15,410	16,534	3,216
Military Personnel - PCS	0	29	0	0
Other	0	128	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,302	50,398	16,534	3,216
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	13,302	50,398	16,534	3,216
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	6	0	0
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,251	1,301
Military Personnel	0	0	227	236
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-225	-225
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,478	1,537
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	4,888	30,212	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,414	4,619	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	15,410	15,283	1,915
Military Personnel - PCS	0	29	-227	-236
Other	0	128	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	13,302	50,404	15,056	1,679

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/RICKENBACKER AGB, OH

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	12,620	0	47,720
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	13,033
Operation & Maintenance	3,286	600	39,046
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	29
Other	0	0	128
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	15,906	600	99,956
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	15,906	600	99,956
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	6
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	6
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,351	1,403	5,306
Military Personnel - PCS	246	256	965
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-225	-225	-225
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,597	1,659	6,271
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	12,620	0	47,720
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	13,033
Operation & Maintenance	1,935	-803	33,740
Military Personnel - PCS	-246	-256	-936
Other	0	0	128
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	6
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,309	-1,059	93,691

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base, Ohio

Closure Package: Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base (ANGB), Ohio, closed at the end of the fourth quarter of FY 1994. The 1993 Commission directed that the 121st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) and the 160th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) move into a cantonment area on the present Rickenbacker ANGB, and operate as a tenant of the Rickenbacker Port Authority (RPA) on RPA's airport. The 907th Airlift Group (AFRES) will realign to Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio as originally recommended. The 4950 Test Wing relocated from Wright-Patterson AFB to Edwards AFB, California.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
FY 1996			
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Base Maintenance Shops**/NLZG939686	1996	1,050
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Support Shops**/NLZG939687	1996	1,250
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Fencing and Utilities**/NLZG939690	1996	620
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Fuel System Maint Dock**/NLZG939700	1996	600
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Jet Fuel Storage/Distribution**/NLZG939729	1996	9,100
Total FY 1996			12,620

**Previously shown as FY 1993 Project

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.3 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
ANG					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
5.52.96F	219-944	NLZG939686	1,050		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	SF	37,900		708	
ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	SF	15,800	20	(316)	
ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT	SF	10,000	15	(150)	
ALTER VEHICLE MAINT	SF	7,600	20	(152)	
ALTER REFUELER VEHICLE MAINT	SF	1,500	20	(30)	
ALTER DISASTER PREPAREDNESS	SF	3,000	20	(60)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				200	
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS	LS			(200)	
SUBTOTAL				908	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				91	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				999	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				50	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,049	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,050	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities and necessary support. Ventilation systems for vehicle maintenance. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 37,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 37,900 SF PROJECT: Alter building 888 to accommodate BCE maintenance shops, organizational maintenance, vehicle maintenance, refueler maintenance and disaster preparedness. REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space is required within the new cantonment area to house maintenance and support functions. CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing maintenance facilities are located in areas whose total square footage exceed the authorized amounts, which will result in excessive operation and maintenance costs. Building 888 can be altered to accommodate the composite maintenance and support functions, and allow disposal of excess space. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE - ALTER SUPPORT SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-152	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939687	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,250	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE - ALTER SUPPORT SHOPS		40,100		1,098
ALTER CIVIL ENGINEERING	SF	22,800	20	(456)
ALTER COMMUNICATIONS/AUDIO VISUAL	SF	10,100	38	(384)
ALTER SECURITY POLICE	SF	9,200	25	(230)
ALTER FITNESS CENTER	SF	1,000	28	(28)
SUBTOTAL				1,098
CONTINGENCY (10%)				110
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,208
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				60
TOTAL REQUEST				1,268
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,250
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities and necessary support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 40,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 40,100 SF PROJECT: Alter Building 875 to accommodate support functions. REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space is required within the new cantonment area to house maintenance and support shop functions. CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing shop facilities are located in areas whose square footage exceeds the authorized amount. Building 875 can be altered to accommodate the maintenance shop functions and allow disposal of excess space. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will impede mission accomplishment. Unit training and operational readiness will be degraded. ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."				

1. COMPONENT ANG		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FENCING/ UTILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 932-681	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939690	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 620		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FENCING/ UTILITIES		LS			559
PERIMETER FENCING		LF	7,000	20	(140)
ENTRY CONTROL GATE HOUSE		LS			(60)
ALTER UTILITIES		LS			(194)
VEHICLE PARKING		LS			(165)
SUBTOTAL					559
CONTINGENCY (5%)					28
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					587
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					29
TOTAL REQUEST					616
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					620
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct chain link security fence. Construct 200 SF entry control station with related gates, utilities, and paving. Construct asphalt parking. Modify utilities entering new cantonment					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct perimeter security fence along new base boundary and construct 200 SF gate house with gates and paving. Provide isolated utilities for the cantonment area. Construct vehicle parking area. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. The new cantonment area requires perimeter security and entry control. New parking is needed to accommodate the drill weekends within a smaller base. Utilities need to be isolated for metering and service into the cantonment area. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The new cantonment area is not contiguous with existing base boundaries. There is no security perimeter fencing or entry control station. Utilities are configured to service a much larger area and need to be isolated for the cantonment area. Parking is inadequate within the reduced base to accommodate drill weekends. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Security of military assets will be compromised without perimeter fencing and entry control. Lack of adequate parking will result in vehicles parked unsafely and in hazardous areas. Utility costs cannot be properly charged to the ANG or accounted for. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939700	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 600	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS				
MAINTENANCE DOCK	LS			414
INSTALL FIRE SUPPRESSION	LS			(238)
REPAIR ROOF	LS			(57)
REPLACE HANGAR DOOR	LS			(76)
REPAIR PAVEMENTS	LS			(43)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				105
UTILITIES	LS			(105)
SUBTOTAL				519
CONTINGENCY (10%)				52
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				571
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				29
TOTAL REQUEST				600
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				600
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade Building 594 to include a complete fire detection and suppression system with Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF), built-up roof, hangar door replacement, and concrete access taxiway.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 25,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 25,100 SF PROJECT: Upgrade Building 594 to accommodate aircraft fuel system maintenance. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space with a new fire suppression system is required within the new cantonment area to support aircraft fuel systems maintenance. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing fuel systems facility has no fire protection system, has an inadequate door operation system, and is in general need of overall repairs and improvements. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Lack of safe, adequate and efficient facilities will impede mission accomplishment. Unit training and operational readiness will be degraded. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."				

1. COMPONENT ANG	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-JET FUEL STORAGE/ DISTRIBUTION COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939729	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 9,100	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-JET FUEL STORAGE/ DISTRIBUTION COMPLEX	LS			7,206
UPGRADE TWO EACH 25000 BL STG TANKS	LS			(1,367)
OPERATING STORAGE TANK	BL	2,500	135	(338)
FUEL TRANSFER LINE	LF	3,600	270	(972)
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON/PADS	SY	11,000	91	(1,001)
HYDRANT SYSTEM	LS			(3,297)
POL OPERATIONS FACILITY	SF	1,650	140	(231)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,025
PAVEMENTS/UTILITIES/DEMOLITION	LS			(1,025)
SUBTOTAL				8,231
CONTINGENCY (5%)				412
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,643
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				432
TOTAL REQUEST				9,075
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,100
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade two 25,000 barrel above ground steel storage tanks. Construct operating storage tank and transfer line, piping and valves, pumphouse, control house, fuel stands, hydrant system, and concrete refueler vehicle parking. Repairs to aircraft parking apron. Environmental controls and utilities. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 50,000 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 50,000 LS PROJECT: Upgrade existing Jet Fuel Storage Complex. Repair aircraft parking apron. REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Upgrade of two 25,000 barrel jet fuel storage tanks is required to meet operational and environmental requirements. A complete jet fuel operating system including an operating tank, transfer line, hydrant system, a functional fuels operations and lab facility, and parking apron to support 20 KC-135 aircraft is required. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing POL storage area is antiquated and does not comply with environmental standards. Leakage, with contamination of the groundwater aquifer is very likely. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Environmentally unsafe storage for the proposed tanker unit. Possible contamination of the aquifer. ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."				

Williams AFB, Arizona Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/WILLIAMS AFB, AZ (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	1,200	0	0	3,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,059	11,396	2,274	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	8,541	3,760	2,829
Military Personnel - PCS	0	52	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	15,259	19,989	6,034	5,829
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	15,259	19,989	6,034	5,829
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	2	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	3,623	3,752	3,884
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,581	16,560	17,224
Military Personnel	0	20,257	41,475	43,155
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-316	-316	-316
Military ES	0	-935	-935	-935
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	27,461	61,787	64,263
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	1,200	0	0	3,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-3,623	-3,752	-3,884
Environmental	14,059	11,396	2,274	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	4,960	-12,800	-14,395
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-20,205	-41,475	-43,155
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	2	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	15,259	-7,470	-55,753	-58,434

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/WILLIAMS AFB, AZ
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	4,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	27,729
Operation & Maintenance	1,593	325	17,048
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	52
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,593	325	49,029
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,593	325	49,029
 FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2
 SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	4,016	4,151	19,426
Operation & Maintenance	17,877	18,564	73,806
Military Personnel - PCS	44,871	46,660	196,418
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-316	-316	-316
Military ES	-935	-935	-935
TOTAL SAVINGS	66,764	69,375	289,650
 NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	4,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-4,016	-4,151	-19,426
Environmental	0	0	27,729
Operation & Maintenance	-16,284	-18,239	-56,758
Military Personnel - PCS	-44,871	-46,660	-196,366
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-65,171	-69,050	-240,619

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Williams Air Force Base, Arizona

Closure Package: Williams AFB, Arizona, closed in FY 1993. The 82 Flying Training Wing was inactivated, and all aircraft were retired or redistributed.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.6 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/WURTSMITH AFB, MI

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	9,675	6,113	1,217	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,771	4,859	6,563
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	9,675	12,884	6,076	6,563
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	9,675	12,884	6,076	6,563
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,447	1,484	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	7,447	1,484	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	6,039	6,254	6,474
Operation & Maintenance	0	10,340	22,325	23,193
Military Personnel	0	20,850	43,316	45,070
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-317	-317	-317
Military ES	0	-1,117	-1,117	-1,117
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	37,229	71,895	74,737
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-6,039	-6,254	-6,474
Environmental	9,675	6,113	1,217	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	-3,569	-17,466	-16,630
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-20,850	-43,316	-45,070
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,447	1,484	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	9,675	-16,898	-64,335	-68,174

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/WURTSMITH AFB, MI

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	17,005
Operation & Maintenance	1,793	350	20,336
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,793	350	37,341
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,793	350	37,341
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	8,931
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	8,931
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,694	6,919	32,380
Operation & Maintenance	24,050	24,948	104,856
Military Personnel - PCS	46,862	48,730	204,828
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-317	-317	-317
Military ES	-1,117	-1,117	-1,117
TOTAL SAVINGS	77,606	80,597	342,064
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,694	-6,919	-32,380
Environmental	0	0	17,005
Operation & Maintenance	-22,257	-24,598	-84,520
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,862	-48,730	-204,828
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	8,931
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-75,813	-80,247	-295,792

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Michigan

Closure Package: Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan closed in FY 1993.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.8 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Chanute AFB, Illinois Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/CHANUTE AFB, IL
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	36,341	9,796	4,100
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	4,214	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	384	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	40,939	9,796	4,100
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	40,939	9,796	4,100
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	36,341	9,796	4,100
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	4,214	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	384	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	40,939	9,796	4,100

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/CHANUTE AFB, IL
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	3,900	0	54,137
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,675	350	6,239
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	384
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	5,575	350	60,760
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	5,575	350	60,760
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	3,900	0	54,137
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,675	350	6,239
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	384
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5,575	350	60,760

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Chanute Air Force Base, Illinois

Closure Package: Chanute AFB closed in FY 1993. Changes to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations included relocating several courses originally scheduled to relocate to Lowry AFB, Colorado. The 1993 Base Closure Commission also recommended consolidating the Air Force's 16 Metals Technology, Non-Destructive Inspection, and Aircraft Structural Maintenance training courses with the Navy at Naval Air Station (NAS) Memphis, Tennessee, and then move them with the Navy to NAS Pensacola, Florida.

One Time Implementation Costs:

<u>Military Construction:</u>		<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>of Award</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1996</u>			
California/Vandenberg AFB	Site Utilities/XUMU963007	1996	2,900
Texas/Goodfellow AFB	Base Pavements/JCGU953002	1996	1,000
Total FY 1996			3,900

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.7 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Chanute AFB, Illinois Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		BASE CLOSURE-SITE UTILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)	
8.57.96	812-225	XUMU963007	2,900	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-SITE UTILITIES	LS			2,261
10" SEWER MAINS	LF	2,650	55	(146)
8" SEWER MAINS	LF	7,700	43	(331)
6" WATER MAINS	LF	16,500	34	(561)
HYDRANTS/TRANSFORMERS/MANHOLES	LS			(260)
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION	LF	12,500	44	(550)
COMMUNICATION DUCTS	LF	6,200	56	(347)
NATURAL GAS	LF	6,000	11	(66)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				350
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(350)
SUBTOTAL				2,611
CONTINGENCY (5%)				131
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,742
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				165
TOTAL REQUEST				2,907
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Place all overhead electrical and telephone lines underground. Replace existing sewer/water/natural gas distribution mains.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Replace all utilities serving the Hq AETC construction site. REQUIREMENT: The redirected closure of Chanute AFB requires a reliable utility system to properly accommodate the undergraduate space training mission at Vandenberg AFB. The campus occupies a rectangular shaped area of approximately 83.5 acres. All the AETC training facilities, including Dining /classrooms/dormitories are located in this area. CURRENT SITUATION: The reliability of the existing utility systems is poor. The sewer, water supply, and natural gas lines that traverse the construction site are over 20 years old. Utility distribution lines were originally laid out to serve over 32 separate WW II buildings. Their recent demolition left numerous dead ends and stubs abandoned in place. Sewer mains have blockage due to root intrusions; water mains experience frequent breaks due to age and heavy useage. The existing power distribution and telephone lines were initially installed above ground on wooden poles. Many of the overhead line segments now show signs of corrosion because of exposure to "salt fog" which is prevalent in the Vandenberg AFB area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Utility failures would disrupt classroom activities and impact training schedules. Also, utility maintenance costs will increase and system reliability will degenerate further. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE		
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS		BASE CLOSURE-BASE PAVEMENTS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
8.57.96	851-147	JCGU953002	1,000	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-BASE PAVEMENTS	LS			860
COMANCHE TRAIL	SY	51,000	8	(408)
APACHE TRAIL	SY	32,700	8	(262)
TROOP WALKWAY	SY	3,800	29	(110)
PARKING LOT	SY	10,000	8	(80)
SUBTOTAL				860
CONTINGENCY (10%)				86
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				946
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				57
TOTAL REQUEST				1,003
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reconstruct pavement on a portion of Comanche Trail from the east end of East Canberra Street to Kickapoo Trail; a portion of Apache Trail from unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing (UEPH) southeast exit to east end of Canberra Street. Construct an asphalt walkway from UEPH to the fire training school. Provide lighting. Reconstruct parking lot at old maintenance facility.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Repair/upgrade old runway pavement for use as access roads. Construct troop walk. Reconstruct parking lot. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Chanute Air Force Base. Adequate access roads are required to support the new fire training complex and dormitories constructed due to the realignment of the fire training mission from Chanute AFB to Goodfellow AFB. A lighted troop walkway is required to shorten the distance troops must march between the dormitory/dining hall complex and the new fire school. A parking lot used as a temporary fire training area must be reconstructed due to extensive damage caused by fire training operations. CURRENT SITUATION: The pavement intended for use as access roads to the new fire training complex is part of an old runway system constructed during the 1950's. Due to age and deterioration, this pavement is not adequate to support the high volume of heavy vehicle traffic associated with the new fire school, and will deteriorate rapidly if not upgraded. Trainees attending the fire school currently march between the dormitory/dining hall complex and fire school four times per day in summer temperatures frequently exceeding 100 degrees. Without the troop walkway, each trip requires approximately 30 minutes, resulting in a total of two hours marching each day and a reduction in valuable training and study				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-BASE PAVEMENTS	JCGU953002	
<p>time. Constructing the troop walkway directly between the dormitory/ dining hall complex and the fire school will reduce the one-way marching time to approximately seven minutes, saving 90 minutes of marching every day. Also, the route that must be used is not lighted, presenting a safety hazzard to the students who march to and from class during the hours of darkness. The parking lot is currently being used as a temporary fire training area pending completion of the new fire training complex. Due to constant use by heavy vehicles such as fire trucks, and the large amount of water discharged under high pressure, the parking lot is rapidly deteriorating and will be unusable for its intended purpose after the fire training relocates to its permanent facility.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The pavement used as access roads to the new fire training complex will deteriorate rapidly, necessitating early repairs and resulting in possible damage to valuable fire training equipment and corresponding loss of training. Without the troop walkway, students will lose ninety minutes of valuable training and study time each day due to the long distances they will be required to march. Also, the students will be required to march along an unlighted roadway, presenting a safety hazard to the marching troops. After the fire training relocates to its permanent facility, the parking lot used as a temporary fire training area will be unusable for normal vehicle traffic due deterioration.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".</p>		

George AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/GEORGE AFB, CA

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	51,604	1,174	600
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	170	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	49	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	51,823	1,174	600
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	51,823	1,174	600
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	51,604	1,174	600
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	170	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	49	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	51,823	1,174	600

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/GEORGE AFB, CA

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	53,378
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,461	300	1,931
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	49
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,461	300	55,358
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,461	300	55,358
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	53,378
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,461	300	1,931
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	49
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,461	300	55,358

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/George Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: George AFB closed 15 December 1992. Changes to the 1988 Commission recommendations included the following: The F-4G aircraft retire or realign to the Idaho Air National Guard. The 35 Tactical Training Wing inactivated. The 41 Electronic Combat Squadron remained at Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona. The EF-111 aircraft at Mountain Home AFB, Idaho, realigned to Cannon AFB, New Mexico. Establish a composite wing at Mountain Home AFB.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.5 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental Compliance and Restoration: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Mather AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/MATHER AFB, CA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	39,460	0	4,250
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,000	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2	0	0
Other	0	663	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	45,125	0	4,250
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	45,125	0	4,250
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	39,460	0	4,250
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,000	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2	0	0
Other	0	663	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	45,125	0	4,250

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/MATHER AFB, CA

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	1,700	0	45,410
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,715	325	7,040
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2
Other	0	0	663
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,415	325	53,115
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,415	325	53,115

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	1,700	0	45,410
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,715	325	7,040
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2
Other	0	0	663
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3,415	325	53,115

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Mather Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: Mather AFB, California closed in FY 1993. Changes by the 1991 Base Closure Commission to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations included relocating the 940th Air Refueling Group to McClellan AFB, California, leaving the 323rd Flying Training Wing Hospital open as an annex to McClellan AFB, California, and relocating the Undergraduate Navigator Training mission to Randolph AFB, Texas. The 1993 Base Closure Commission then changed the 1991 Commission's directed beddown of the 940th Air Refueling Group to Beale AFB, California vice McClellan AFB, California. Due to the closure of Mather AFB, the 940th Air Refueling Group was directed to temporarily relocate to McClellan AFB, awaiting permanent beddown at Beale AFB. Other costs and savings associated with the closure of Mather AFB are contained in the Base Closure Account (Part I) Justification Book.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>State/Installation</u>	<u>Project Title/Number</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1996</u>			
Texas/Randolph AFB	Restore Base Streets/TYMX953003	1996	1,700
Total FY 1996			1,700

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.7 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Mather AFB, California Package

FY 1996 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			BASE CLOSURE-BASE STREETS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	851-147	TYMX953003	1,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-BASE STREETS			1		1,450
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					(55)
RPR 4TH STREET EAST		LS			(55)
RPR 3RD STREET EAST		LS			(975)
RPR 5TH STREET EAST		LS			(210)
RPR J STREET EAST		LS			(75)
RPR 4TH STREET EAST (A TO C STREETS)		LS			(80)
RPR C STREET EAST		LS			1,450
SUBTOTAL					145
CONTINGENCY (10%)					1,595
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					96
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					1,691
TOTAL REQUEST					1,700
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Repair base streets damaged during construction of the Undergraduate Navigator Campus.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Repair base streets damaged during construction of the Undergraduate Navigator Campus.					
REQUIREMENT: Closure of Mather Air Force Base. Repair of base streets is required due to damage generated during construction of the new Undergraduate Navigator Training Campus. Extensive traffic by heavy construction equipment, delivery of materials by heavy trucks, and paving cuts required for extension of underground utilities to the campus accelerated deterioration of the pavements.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Increased traffic by construction equipment and other vehicles during construction of the new Undergraduate Navigator Training Campus has caused selected base streets to become badly deteriorated. Road reconstruction was not a part of the campus construction since each facility on the campus was programmed individually and sited within existing street boundaries. The streets surrounding and extending into the new campus have received moderate to severe damage during construction operations.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to accomplish this project will cause base streets surrounding the new Undergraduate Navigator Campus to remain in a seriously deteriorated condition. The current condition of the roads will accelerate deterioration and damage so as to render the street virtually unusable within a short period of time.					
ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

Pease AFB, New Hampshire Package

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/PEASE AFB, NH

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/PEASE AFB, NH

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	281	50	331
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	281	50	331
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	281	50	331
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	281	50	331
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	281	50	331

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1988 Commission
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Pease Air Force Base, New Hampshire

Closure Package: Pease AFB closed at the end of the second quarter of FY 1991.

One Time Implementations Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.3 Million

Procurement Items: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Norton AFB, California Package

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/NORTON AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/NORTON AFB, CA

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,551	200	2,751
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,551	200	2,751
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,551	200	2,751

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,551	200	2,751
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2,551	200	2,751

EXHIBIT BC-02

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1988 Commission
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Norton Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: Norton AFB is closed in FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$2.6 Million

Procurement Items: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included on exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

U.S. Air Force Program Management Summary

1991 Commission

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	15,816	24,997	44	2,006
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,795	29,673	1,871	0
Operation & Maintenance	543	23,300	14,093	10,988
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2	0	0
Other	125	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	31,279	77,972	16,208	12,994
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	31,279	77,972	16,208	12,994
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	9,756	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	48,617	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	58,373	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	114,528	12,408	19,012	21,487
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	114,528	12,408	19,012	21,487
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	-88,956	12,589	-18,968	-19,481
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,795	29,673	1,871	0
Operation & Maintenance	49,160	23,300	14,093	10,988
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2	0	0
Other	125	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-24,876	65,564	-2,804	-8,493

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	42,863
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	14,100	12,110	72,549
Operation & Maintenance	18,761	4,000	71,685
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2
Other	0	0	125
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	32,861	16,110	187,424
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	32,861	16,110	187,424
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	9,756
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	48,617
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	58,373
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	22,225	22,985	212,645
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	22,225	22,985	212,645
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	-22,225	-22,985	-160,026
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	14,100	12,110	72,549
Operation & Maintenance	18,761	4,000	120,302
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2
Other	0	0	125
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	10,636	-6,875	33,152

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U. S. Air Force/Program Management

Closure Package: Program management includes overall one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs include environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$18.8 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$14.1 Million

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT - BRAC 91 (PART II)
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS
BY STATE

California

Vandenberg AFB

96	Site Utilities	2,900
----	----------------	-------

Colorado

Buckley ANGB

96	Enlisted Dormitory	5,600
----	--------------------	-------

Maryland

Ft. Meade

96	AFIS Audio Visual School (Conj to Army)	14,000
----	---	--------

North Carolina

Pope AFB

96	Munitions Storage Complex	4,450
----	---------------------------	-------

Ohio

Rickenbacker ANGB

96	Alter Base Maintenance Shops	1,050
----	------------------------------	-------

96	Alter Support Shops	1,250
----	---------------------	-------

96	Alter Fencing and Utilities	620
----	-----------------------------	-----

96	Alter Fuel System Maintenance Dock	600
----	------------------------------------	-----

96	Jet Fuel Storage/Distribution	9,100
----	-------------------------------	-------

Wright-Patterson

96	NECAP Complex	8,500
----	---------------	-------

Oklahoma

Altus AFB

96	Flight Simulator/Academic Facility	10,000
----	------------------------------------	--------

96	Construct Family Housing (180 units)	18,500
----	--------------------------------------	--------

Texas

Bergstrom Air Reserve Base

96	Conventional Munitions Complex	2,100
----	--------------------------------	-------

96	Add/Alter BCE Complex	2,000
----	-----------------------	-------

96	Add/Alter Maintenance Shops	2,900
----	-----------------------------	-------

96	Isolate Utilities/Fence	680
----	-------------------------	-----

96	Alter Vehicle Maintenance Complex	500
----	-----------------------------------	-----

96	Airmen Dining Hall	2,400
----	--------------------	-------

96	Base Supply Warehouse	2,900
----	-----------------------	-------

Goodfellow AFB

96	Base Pavements	1,000
----	----------------	-------

Lackland AFB

96	Alter Technical Training	2,250
----	--------------------------	-------

Randolph AFB

96	Base Streets	1,700
----	--------------	-------

Sheppard AFB

96	Base Roads	1,800
----	------------	-------

96	Central Preparation Kitchen/Bakery	1,800
----	------------------------------------	-------

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE - PART II
Loss of LAND Revenue - Air Force
(Dollars in Thousands)

<u>Location</u>	<u>FY 1994</u>	<u>FY 1995</u>	<u>FY 1996</u>	<u>FY1997</u>
Williams Air Force Base, AZ	0	8,000	0	0
Eaker Air Force Base, AR	0	8,000	0	0
Castle Air Force Base, CA	0	0	0	27,000
Lowry Air Force Base, CO	0	0	100,000	0
MacDill Air Force Base, FL	0	0	50,000	0
Grissom Air Force Base, IN	0	0	8,000	0
England Air Force Base, LA	0	0	0	0
Loring Air Force Base, ME	0	8,000	0	0
Wurtsmith Air Force Base, MI	0	0	8,000	0
Richards Gebaur Air Force Reserve Station, MO	0	0	8,000	0
Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base, OH	0	0	8,000	0
Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, SC	0	8,000	0	0
Bergstrom Air Force Base, TX	0	8,000	0	0
Carswell Air Force Base, TX	0	8,000	0	0
George Air Force Base, CA	0	0	0	0
Mather Air Force Base, CA	0	0	0	0
Chanute Air Force Base, IL	0	0	0	0
Total	0	48,000	182,000	27,000